RJ 44/13

## Before the State of California Department of Industrial Relations DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL SAFETY

Public Hearing For The Purpose Of Considering Proposals Prohibiting Or Limiting The Use Of Short-Handled Hoes By Agriculture Employees -- A Work Operation That Hay Be Involved in Back-Injury Problems.

TRANSCRIPT

of

PROCEEDINGS

In Salinas, California, Thursday, May 3, 1973, at 10 a.m., In the Salinas Council Chamber Rotunda, 200 Lincoln Avenue.

H. Edward White, Chairman R. K. Humphries Dala Harr Albert W. Turner Leo R. Westwater Richard Wilkins, Secretary Industrial Safety Board Division of Industrial Safety

455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 3460 Wilshira Boulevard, Room 90: Los Angeles, California 90010



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL SAFETY

3

4

1

2

Minutes of the public hearing for the purpose of considering proposals prohibiting or limiting the use of short-handled hoes by agriculture employees -- a work operation that may be involved in back-injury problems.

5

6

## Present were:

		•	
7	David Alcaraz	400 <b>4</b> 40	Salinas
	Francisco Alcaraz		Salinas
8	Padro Alvarado	m so	Soledad
	Alex Amerzcua	•••	Salinas
9	Jerry Andrews	International Harvester	Salinas
-	Trene Murillo Arlas	Field Laborer	Salinas
10	Hervyn O. Bailey	Harden Farms of California	Salinas ·
	William H. Barker	Farm Bureau	Selinas
11	George Betz	Bruce Church, Inc.	Salinas
	Jose Cavazos		Soledad
12	Tony Carvantes	Soledad Development Corporation	Soledad
	Quin Denvir	CRLA	Salinas
13	Gaspar Diaz	••	Soledad
10	A. R. Duarte	San Joaquin Farm Production Assn.	Stockton
14	Paul W. Englund	R. T. Englund Company	Salinas
	Hisauro A. Gerza	University of California	Soledad
15	Oscar Gona		Salinas
10	Domingo Gonzales	<b>⊕ □</b>	King City
16	Pascual Gonzales	₩.₩	Soledad
10	Richard A. Gonzales	CRLA	Salinas
17	Ricardo Gonzales	Farm Laborer	Salinas
±'	Robert L. Grainger	Eckel Produce	Salinas
18	Lee W. Griffin	Farm Laborer	Salinas
10	Beverly Hagopian	San Francisco Neighborhood Legal	
19	buve, if magepion	Assistance Foundation	San Francisco
10	Nick Henares	~=	Carmel
20	Tom Henninger	California Beet Growers Assn.	Stockton
20	Angalita Hemandez		Salinas
0.1	Hector Mendoza Hernandez		Salinas
21	Rosia Hernandez	Farm Laborer	Salinas
00	Emilio S. Herrera		King City
22	Charles L. Howe	San Francisco Chronicle	San Francisco
0.7	J. W. Huffman	Honterey Co. Agriculture	
23	·	Extension	Salinas
/ 04	John W. Inman	Monterey Co. Agriculture	
24	<b>1</b> 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Extension	Salinas
O.E.	Joe Lopez	Farm Laborer	King City
25	Helen Manning	Salinas Californian	Salinas
0.0	Fernando Martinez	<b>-</b> ■	Soledad
26	Harry C. Mallon	Farm Laborer	Salinas
On	Manual Mandoza		Soledad
27	Robert S. Mills	SUIGA	Greenflold
	S. Morin	4 49	Soledad
28	9. INI III		· - <del></del>

	,		
		•	
1	Norma Alicia Murille	₩ ₩	Salinas
	Hargaret Hurphy	United Farm Workers	Salinas
2	Rosie Negron	Farm Laborer	Selinas
***	Manuel Olivas	en Carrilatara	Salinas
3	Santiago Lopez Perez Dennis Powell	Farm Laborer CRLA	Greenfleld
. 4	John Radebaugh	National Farm Workers Health	Salinas
-32	Voint Nedobadgit	Group	Sanger
5	Ludovico R. Redula	Division of Industrial Safety	Salinas
	Cornelo Rocha	Retired Farm Laborer	Soledad
6	N. Michael Rucka	Horgan Beauzay Hammer & Rucka	Salinas
	Lupe Ruiz	Farm Laborer	Salinas
7	John Saavedra	Soledad City Councilman	Soledad
_	Jesus Sanchez	Farm Laborer	King City
8	A. D. Studybaker		Carmal
0	Roger Telg Richard V. Thornton	Pan American Underwriters	Salinas
9	Frank L. Vargas	Grower-Shipper Vegeteble Assn. Labor Contractor	Salinas Chualar
10	Santos VIIIela	Farm Laborer	Salinas
10	Cal B. Watkins	International Harvester, Inc.	Salinas
11		The state of the s	00.71103
-			
12			
13	•		
10			
14			
		•	
15			
		•	
16	•		
3.07	•		
17			
18	,		
10			
19			
20	•		
			•
21			•
1			
22			
0,7			
23			
24	•		
~ 4			,
25			
- 1			

MR. H. EDWARD WHITE: Now we'll move into our public hearing on the short-handled hoe, and this hearing is now in session. Before I go further, I'll introduce the other member when he arrives, I'd like to introduce members of the industrial Safety Board and the others at the head table. I'm Ed White. I'm Chairman of the Board. On my immediate right is Dale Harr, who is attending his first Safety Board meeting. He has just been appointed by Governor Reagan to serve on the industrial Safety Board. We're delighted to have you here. On my far right is Richard Wilkins, Chief of the Division of Industrial Safety and serving as Secretary to the Board.

Would you please remember to enter your names and addresses on the attendance list. This gives you the assurance of receiving further informatic regarding any future Board action on material under consideration at this public hearing. This public hearing is somewhat unusual in that the Division of industrial Safety has no specific Safety Order to present for consideration. The proposal before us to prohibit the agricultural use of the short-handled hoe comes from a group of farm workers represented by the California Rural Legal Assistance, and it is their position that the use of the short-handled hoe is a cause of back injuries among farm workers. Are you able to hear all right? Are you okay? You don't hear me too well back there?

MR.\_\_\_ : No.

MR. WHITE: All right. Let me repeat that. It's an important point.

The proposal before us to prohibit agricultural use of the short-handled hoe-- is that better? Are you able to hear all right way back there? --comes from a group of farm workers represented by the California Rural Legal Assistance. It is their position that the use of the short-handled hoe is a cause of back injuries among farm workers. The proposal has not been completely evaluated by the Board, and it is the purpose of this hearing to

extend and assist such evaluation.

The original proposal to prohibit the short-handled hoe was presented to the Board at its quarterly meeting held March 6th, 1973. The presentation was detailed and thorough, making use of witnesses, doctors' presentations, statistics and special studies. This presentation, thorough as it was, could not be considered as a public hearing since it had not been advertised in advance. A complete cross-section of the industry was thus not present, and the Board, recognizing a need for further data from a broad segment, has requested these public hearings. From the standpoint of the Board, it is not necessary that the previous presentations of the California Rural Assistance be repeated. We held a public hearing in imperial, California near El Centro on May 1 two days ago. This is the second public hearing which we will hold on this matter.

Hr. Wilkins has received three letters from interested parties, and at this time I'll ask him to give us a summary of what those letters are and from where they were received.

HR. RICHARD WILKINS: We've received letters which will be entered into the official records of these hearings. One from the Growers Harvesting Committee in Modesto, California, which gives their views on the subject under discussion. One from the California Beet Growers Association from Stockton, which is a rather lengthy document with their views. And a letter from Lindemann Farms, incorporated, Los Banos, with their thinking on the subject under discussion. These will become part of the official records of the hearings.

MR. WHITE: All right, thank you. Now in connection with this, to give us the proper flavor for these hearings and to sum up what has been accomplished at the May I hearing in imperial, and to give his position, I would like to call on Mr. Martin Glick to present a summary of their proposals

on the prohibition of the short-handled hoe. After this summary the hearin will proceed in a normal fashion allowing interested parties to speak in turn upon this subject when recognized by the Chairman. We would appreciat it if anyone wishing to speak comes forward upon recognition, and if you show your hand, I will recognize you, to the front microphone. At that time please give your name and any organization you may represent or be associate with, and please do this each time you come forward. We're recording the hearing for later study, and it helps when the tape is recording the conversation, for the person speaking to identify himself so that the transcriber will be able to make a more intelligent transcription. So, Mr. Glick if you'll come forward please.

MR. MARTIN GLICK: Thank you. I'm Hartin Glick, Director of the California Rural Legal Assistance, and as we did in El Centro, I will try and briefly summarize the evidence you have before you on our petition on behalf of many California farm workers to abolish el cortito, the shorthandled hoe, as an unsafe hand tool.

The presentation we've made to date really divides into two parts, the first part dealing with the injury that is caused by the use of the hoe. You have now heard a total of nine doctors, including both the affadavits and the actual live witnesses who have been before you. Those were Dr. Murphy, Dr. Calvin, Dr. Thompson and Dr. Flanagan. I think we can safely say there was a unanimous view among those nine doctors of the unacceptable high risk involved, and the high rate of injury that results, from the use of the short hoe. They find that an inordinately high percentage of back problems occur among farm workers in the Salinas Valley and the imperial Valley with those farm workers who use the short-handled hoe. Their estimates were at least four times the number of low back problems, with a far younger segment than they normally find low back problems, than occurs in other parts of California

5 6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24 25

26

27

28

and other parts of the United States. They also brought forward a number of case histories which actually showed how that injury works and what results. Their testimony taken together really comes to the point that back injury from use of the hoe is literally inevitable.

Perhaps the best way I can present this is just to read from Dr. Murphy the one paragraph summary of his testimony where he said: "To summarize. Maintaining the body in a bent position as is necessary for use of a shorthandled hoe places great stress on the Intervertebral discs of the spine which greatly accelerates the development of degenerative disease in those disc structures and promotes the development of degenerative arthritis of the spine, which is secondary to the disc degeneration. Performance of even simple activities while in this bent position adds further stresses which are magnified many times over what they would be if performed in the erect position. The result of this is a worker whose spine ages much more rapidly than the rest of his body until a point is reached at which he is no longer able to work because of low back pain even though the rest of the body may be quite young." And the example he gave is that you might have a fortyyear old farm worker who has a seventy or eighty-year old back because of the great rate of degeneration that has occurred because of use of the short hoe.

As Dr. Flanagan stated, one might be tempted to say the spine may not be In an optimum position when using the short hoe, but there is no weight involved in the work being done. But that's simply not the case. For an average 170 pound male in this position the muscles are actually lifting more than 90 pounds every second. If you multiply that times the number of seconds in that position during an eight or ten hour workday, it represents thousands of pounds daily, and it is easy to see that this significant amount of weight is being applied to the spine at the very point where most

degeneration occurs and most symptoms develop. There is no doubt in my mind that the short-handled hoe plays a very important and significant role in the development of pathology of the lower back region, and should be considered a health hazard of major proportions to the segment of the population which is required to use this tool. The short hoe is a primitive and barbaric tool which was developed when human health and dignity were of little consideration, but it has no place in our advanced society.

The injuries which result, which the doctors testified to, are arthritis, herniated discs, and, in fact, fracture of the structures themselves. They also testified to the fact that treatment is largely ineffective, that when that man's back has degenerated to the point where it's a seventy-year old back in a thirty-year old person, you can treat it and keep it a seventy-year old back, but there's no way to ever go back and rebuild what has already degenerated, and that operations are largely ineffective, and most of the treatment is to try and control the pain which results. They did testify at length about the kind of pain that's involved, the nerves that are involved in the back, but I think that's a subject that all of us are familiar with without even the doctors' testimony.

There is also in front of the commission a survey done by the University of California at Santa Cruz where they examined a sample group of two-hundred in both Orange Cove, where the long-handled hoe is used, and in Soledad, where the short-handled hoe is used. In that survey they found four times the number of low back related injuries among the Soledad population than were found among the Orange Cove population.

And finally on this subject, there was the testimony of farm workers themselves. Innumerable witnesses have been before this commission testifying to the pain they suffered and endured both while they were using the instrument and then in later life, ten or twenty years later, when the

inevitable back problem did, in fact, result. A sample of that is in the affadavit of Elejo Deharo. He said: "When I work with the cortito, I find it very hard to work eight hours. I come home with so much pain that sometimes rubbing and hot patches don't help. It does not matter how slowly the boss allows us to work because it is still very hard on the back, neck and thighs to work bending so close to the ground. I believe that If the grower would bring twenty hoes with long handles and twenty short handles, no one would pick up the short handle. Only a man out of his mind would choose the short handle. I want my wife and children, who are willing to help me, to be able to work, but the only way I will allow it is with the long handle. Please don't force me to ruin my childrens' backs as I have done against my will with mine."

In addition, there is evidence before the commission on the cost to the State from the use of the short-handled hoe. The primary cost is in welfare, welfare and disability coverage. Estimates are not before the commission, but the doctors have testified to the number of referrals that have been made of those disabled for that kind of coverage. There is some additional coverage from workmens compensation where sudden injuries are caused because of a weakened back when the short-handled hoe was in use. Dr. Murphy estimated that one-hundred million dollars in California alone is paid out in workmens compensation for low back injuries. In addition, of course, there is social security disability and the medical expenses related to operations and treatment. Secondly, there's a cost to the work force, that cost of those people who will prematurely have to retire and cannot live long and useful work lives. And finally, again testimony before the commission of the cost to those persons injured in their own lives which are lost, an unestimable cost and an unacceptable cost.

Second there is testimony before this commission on an alternative.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Given the safety hazard of the short hoe, it would be enough just to show that, but in addition the following was shown. Practices were survayed in every state and extensively throughout California. Those showed in the principal crops which are in concern here that in sugar beets the long hoe is used exclusively in Colorado, Idaho, Maine, Hichigan, Utah, Wisconsin and . parts of California. That in lettuce the short hoe is used in Florida, Illinois and Wisconsin, and in parts of the San Joaquin Valley. That in cotton the long hoe, I'm talking about the long hoe, the long hoe is used in Arizona, Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and here in California in Bakersfield, There is no use literally of the short-handled hoe except in Salinas. In El Centro, and in those growers who have expanded from the Salinas and El Centro areas into other states and have taken the short hoe with them and continued to use it in those other states even though the predominant practice in those other states is use of the long hoe. That's the evidence that the surv showed, and the survey showed similar results in the crops of tomatoes, celer carrots, melons and literally every other crop.

The most authoritative source on the use of the long hoe as an alternative is, of course, the farm worker himself as he has testified before this commission, again in numerous occasions both in San Francisco and El Centro, that they have thinned, and they have weeded, in all of these crops with both the long-handled hoe and the short-handled hoe, and they can do it with the long-handled hoe, with the short-handled hoe, depending on the particular planting of the particular crop at the particular time, but that it absolutely can be done.

In addition, there is labor contractor testimony in affadavit before the commission in addition to farm worker testimony that both can be used.

I think that's a summary of the evidence we have presented showing one,

that's it's unsafe and should be outlawed even though there was no present alternative, and second that a safe alternative does exist. We have several witnesses. We have for the commission brought only one more doctor today so that you don't have to suffer through a number more, I think his testimony is quite different from some of the other doctors as he has had a different kind of experience. So we will be presenting that. Mr. Thornton from one of the growers associations approached us and said he has a number of witnesses that have to get back, and we'd be pleased to defer and have him be able to put on some of his witnesses. Our witnesses will volunteer in turn, but we'll proceed at your pleasure.

MR. WHITE: Just to be sure we understand each other, we don't mind suffering because each doctor has been very lucid and completely clear, but we prefer to dispense with his time. We've already got nine doctors and we feel that perhaps we're adding loing on the cake. So, if it's all right with you, Mr. Glick, Mr. Thornton would you like to have one of your people come up, or you yourself come up.

MR. RICHARD V. THORNTON: My name is Richard Thornton. I'm with the Grower-Shipper Association. I will not be testifying, but we do have several witnesses who we'd like to have come up and present their viewpoint to you. We also have a doctor that will be with us at a later time this morning. We don't know exactly what time. At this time I'd like to present some farm worker witnesses.

MR. WHITE: Would you tell us your name, please.

MR. ORNOVEGO: My name is Ornovego. I don't believe it's that bad, the short-handled hoe. There's very many people have been doing this for many years with a short-handled hoe. They can't work with them long-handled hoes. They will injure you more than a short-handled hoe because you have to move your body more often. With a short-handled hoe you have to move your hands

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

bent over. With a long-handled hoe you have to so it twice, you have to go down, up, then hold the hoe. I don't think that's very well. For instance, they take the short-handled hoe away. They can put the long-handled hoe. They're going to put machines. Now, we're working precision planting. You can go to the short-handled hoe but a machine cannot do that, or a longhandled hoe, because you have to hit it just right. It will be in between and the long-handled hoe for the farmer won't do very much good either. will take them all day for them to even do a days work. And for myself, I wouldn't go for that long-handled hoe. I prefer the short-handled hoe. have one kidney. It never has bothered me. A doctor he's never been in the field. He doesn't know the job. I know the work. I've been doing this for twenty-five years. I do a good days work and I go home and get a good nights sleep. I can go anyplace. I'm not worried about my back. Some person did this. He probably started two or three years ago, and now he's complaining because he wants to be standing up and enjoying himself at all times. I'd like to see him do the work, but do it right. That's what I want to do. Thank you.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Mr. Ornovego. Any questions or comments at all? All right, thank you very much. Mr. Thornton, do you know their names and addresses if we need them? Will you have them?

HR. THORNTON: Yes.

MR. WHITE: Thank you. The next individual, please.

MISS LUPE RUIZ: My name is Lupe Ruiz and I work for Mr. Frank Vargas.

MR. WHITE: How do you spell your last name?

MISS RUIZ: It's R-U-1-Z. I have been doing thinning for quite some time. I think it's better the short hoe than the long hoe because I've tried It with the long hoe and it kind of hurts your underarm and your back. I think it's a lot better the short hoe because you do the job better too, and

•	ł		
1	you do a better job than with the long hoe. I guess that's all.		
2	MR. WHITE: Any comments or questions? There are questions that occur,		
3	but I suppose the answers are obvious. Does it make a difference what		
4	crop you're working with?		
5	MISS RUIZ: No. We've been doing lettuce for a long time and it doesn'		
6	bother my back or anything. Just go home, take a shower, and that's all.		
7	MR. WHITE: How many years have you been doing this?		
8	MISS RUIZ: Well, I've been working for Frank Vargas for a year, and we		
9	worked for Johnny Rosa for about four years or five years.		
10	MR. WHITE: I see.		
11	MISS RUIZ: And It doesn't bother me.		
12	MR. WILKINS: Would It bother you to tell us how old you are?		
13	HISS RUIZ: Thirty-four.		
14	MR. WILKINS: Thank you.		
15	MR. WHITE: Any other questions? Thank you, Miss Ruiz, very much.		
16	MR: 1've been working for Mr. Vargas for six years thinning		
17	lettuce. I never had no trouble with my back with a short-handled hoe. I		
18	agree all the time with a short-handled hoe. I wouldn't go with a long-		
19	handled hoe. So, I've been working and I've never had trouble with my back.		
20	MR. WHITE: Have you used the long-handled hoe?		
21	MR; Yes, sir, before when I was a small kid. But I mean you		
22	have more trouble with that long-handled hoe because I used to tend cotton		
23	before, but I mean I agree with a short-handled hoe. I get along better with		
24	a short-handled hoe than with a long-handled.		
25	MR. WHITE: Perhaps, Mr. Thornton, If it's true of these people, If		
26	they had their choice of the short or the long, I gather that they would		
27	prefer the short.		
28	HR. : I agree with the short-handled hoe.		

MR. WHITE: You'd prefer it. If you had your choice, you'd still take the short.

MR. Yes, I would.

MR. WHITE: That's true of Miss Rulz and Mr. Ornovega? Any questions? Thank you very much.

NISS ROSIE NEGRON: Hy name is Rosie Negron and I have been doing this thinning for quite some time too. I have been working for Frank Yargas for two years, and with Johnny Rosa for about four or five. I have picked strawberries before and that goes on your knees too. I have diabetes and my doctor has never prevented me from working on this kind of job, and I have never had backaches. I have worked in packing sheds myself, and it even hurts your back in packing sheds. So everything with thinning it hurts the same way for awhile. You go home and you take a shower and you are okay. I would rather hoe with a short hoe than with a long one. I have thinned with a long one and I don't like it. Your back and your neck hurts, and you have to twist your body a little bit more with a longer hoe than with a short one. The short one, you just go in one position, just bending, and then once in awhile you get up and you're okay. You bend down again and you work again. I have never had trouble with my back at all. So, I'd rather have the short hoe than the long one.

MR. WHITE: Could you use the long hoe in this area if you wanted to?

Do they have them here to use?

MISS NEGRON: No, they don't. Just a few men that I know that had it, but they didn't thin all day with them. They just had it in their hand just to take a weed or two, or irrigate, or like that, and I have used it from them just for awhile and I don't like it. I give it back to them.

MR. WHITE: Questions? Thank you very much, Miss Nagron. Mr. Thornton and others, we don't need different people to say that they like the short hoe

or don't like the short hoe. If they can add anything, then perhaps if you have other people here, Mr. Thornton, that would like to give you their names, just issue a deposition rather than take the time for everyone to come up. Tell Mr. Thornton who the names are to be sure we have a count. All right, thank you. This gentleman, yes sir.

MR. HISAURO GARZA: Hy name is Hisauro Garza and I'm currently a doctoral student at the University of California at Berkeley. I came to this Valley back in '64 or '65, and I'm a doctoral student in sociology, by the way.

I came back in '64 or '65 to this Valley and that was the first time that I have ever heard of the cortito. Up to that time, I was eighteen years old at the time, I had always used the long-handled hoe in Texas. So, it was a real shock for me to come here and work with the short-handled hoe. I basically wanted to despite the fact that I'm not a current farm worker. I haven't been for the last four or five or six years, but I did do farm work in '64 and '65 like I mentioned earlier. I'd like to relate to you a couple of incidents that I think have burned a spot in my heart and in my mind, and I will never forget them.

When we were working in the fields, working for Jose Ervin, Eddy Garcia and Hunt from King City and stuff thinning lettuce and beets, I recall that during the break in the afternoon about three or four o'clock that everybody would eagerly await this ten minute break, would throw down the short-handled hoe, and with their bodies slightly bent forward from the excrutiating pain and the bent position all day long, would try to roll over the rows where the vegetables, the lettuce or what have you was planted, to try to let the heat from the ground sort of massage their backs, and try to in that way sort of alleviate the pain. To me this is an incident that I experienced that I will never forget because we even had little verses that we composed in the fields to try to forget the pain. There is a little saying that is called

el cortito, the short-handled hoe, will even change your style of walking, and that's a truism of a very special type because it does, in fact, alter your style of walking for quite some time, and being bent forward and so forth

I just went out this morning, and one of my nieces is totally disabled from el cortito use. Her pelvic structure has apparently been altered through the years of working in the fields. I would also come home in the afternoons with my back in dire pain, and I would see my cousins, like I said I was eighteen years old at the time, my cousins with small fremes, ninety-five and hundred pounders, trying to lay back on their beds, and as their bodies kind of unfolded back to meet the bed surface, I could see the tears rolling out of their eyes just crying from the pain as the body was trying to assume its natural erect position. And as I mentioned earlier, incldents of this type will always remain with me despite the fact that I have removed myself from the presence of actual farm work.

As I mentioned earlier, I'm pursuing a PhD in sociology at Berkeley, but as I mentioned also, I did do work with the long-handled hoe in Texas for at least eight or ten years when I was about nine years old until I was about eighteen. I thinned cotton and I also weeded onlons, cabbage and so forth, and I found, just like I said earlier, It was just a complete shock to me to know that people could work like burros in the fields, and I was a senior in high school at the time and having my own sort of political consciousness at the time, realizing that the incentive behind all this sort of exploitation was not the caring for a human being, or caring for our farm worker on a human level, but the profit motive that sort of superseded every other thing. That to me is completely atrocious. Thank you for your time.

MR. WHITE: Just a moment, Mr. Garza. Questions perhaps?

THE BOARD: Who do you represent here, yourself?

MR. GARZA: Myself. I was told of this hearing and I wanted very much to

testify before you because this has a lot of significance for me.

MR. WHITE: You mentioned you are a doctor, or are you studying sociology?

HR. GARZA: I am studying sociology. I'm currently in my doctorals for the PhD degree at Berkeley, U.C. Berkeley.

MR. WHITE: Any other questions? Thank you very much for coming up.

I'm a farmer, not a corporate farmer, a farmer. I'm associated with Eckel Produce. I've been a farmer for twenty-eight years in the Salinas Valley, and in that whole twenty-eight years the people who have worked for me have used that short-handled hoe. And in this twenty-eight years the people that have come to work for me are faithful workers. Great numbers of them have returned year after year to work in my fields and they prefer the short-handled hoe. I respect the intelligence of these people that do this work. I know it's hard work and they take a great deal of pride in the work that they do.

In order to produce in our Valley and our Valley is one of the most productive valleys in the United States, and to produce our type of crops and produce them well, we cannot allow doubles (doubles are two plants growing side by side), half cut plants which are thinned and only half done, weak plants, sick plants. We can't stand those. If we have this, we can have as high as a fifty-percent reduction in our production. Now, I don't believe the long-handled hoe can do this job. As the crops mature and the follage grows larger, sometimes these jobs take two hands, one hand to pull back the foliage, and the other hand to clean the weeds. We're not doing this just because we want to hurt somebody's back. It's a necessity. If we do not produce, we do not survive. When you have to hoe these weeds out of crops that are for processors, processors won't allow weeds in the crops. They harvest it mechanically, and when the weeds are there, there's a dockage and

we lose.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Now, there are great strides being made in precision planting, and in herbicides and controls of weeds, and in the control of weeds by herbicides It isn't completely foolproof, and we have to clean the plants, clean the doubles out, and clean the weeds out, or they rob the plant that's mature and strong of moisture and fertilizer. In the strides that are being made with herbicides and precision planting, the restrictions asked by, and sometimes given, to the ecologists and the trade unions are curtailing our planting and our use of these various chemicals. Therefore, there is only one way in my mind that we can clean these up and do it properly, and that's with the short-handled hoe. A long-handled hoe puts the man too far away from his work, and it can't be done properly. The people that work for me, and have worked for ma, take a great deal of pride in their work that they do, and they want to do it with the short-handled hoe, and we have a wonderful relationship. I have a crew right now. These people are all happy to be there. They're happy to do their work. They want the work done correctly and they do it with the short-handled hoe. Just as an added thought, all our products, or most of our products, for instance, packing lettuce is done on the ground bending over, picking strawberries, packing strawberries, packing celery, everything we do in our industry, I would say our industry is a complete industry of bending over. In my opinion, if we have to turn to the inadequate hoeing and thinning of the long-handled hoe, I'm afraid we'll turn completely to mechanization because I think it will do just as well at the job, and unfortunately that puts people out of work. Thank you.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Mr. Grainger. Questions?

THE BOARD: Yes. Mr. Grainger, I'd like to ask how much have you actually tried the long-handled hoe in this Valley?

MR. GRAINGER: We have tried it on various occasions when we've had a shortage of help. People have insisted on using it and so we provided it, and it just does not work out to give us the best answer for the production that we need.

THE BOARD: How much did you figure it cut down your ability to clean the rows? Twenty percent? Forty percent?

MR. GRAINGER: I don't know what percent it would be, but there was a difference in production.

THE BOARD: You feel it was a significant--

MR. GRAINGER: Very significant to me, yes.

THE BOARD: Have many of your workers over the years complained about back problems to you?

MR. GRAINGER: People always complain about back problems. I've thinned and hoed and I'm a great big man. I've thinned lettuce along with the workers when I was a younger fellow and I was starting out in the farming business, and it hurts and it hurts badly for about three or four days. Then after that you're in shape. It's just like anything else you do whether you're packing lettuce, or you're loading a truck, It hurts and it only hurts until you're used to it. If you stop for a day or two, then It's going to ache again, but it goes away same as any other job.

THE BOARD: Do you have any feeling for the average age group, the normal work crew you have?

MR. GRAINGER: I don't screen them for age group, I hire them for their ability to do the job. I have older men in my crew, older women, young women, some school kids in the summertime.

MR. WHITE: Any other questions? Thank you, Hr. Grainger, very much. Yes, sir.

MR. GEORGE BETZ: My name is George Betz, Bruce Church Company. I'm

speaking as an individual. I came here simply to listen. I have had no plans to participate; however, a couple of comments were made and I build like to comment on those comments.

The use of the short-handled hoe throughout the Industry, that I've been in the industry, is commonly used as illustrated in this picture. It is by chance that I had this picture. Perhaps you've seen it. It's out of the local paper. It's out of our local paper, and I had It to show my people how not to thin, and that is a commonly accepted way of thinning. A couple of points on that. You'll notice the individual has a glove on his left hand. This is normally often seen. The individual cannot get the weeds, and cannot get the doubles, with a glove on his left hand. I tell our people gloves are for men and women-women and children and I accept that a man with gloves cannot get the proper job done. The hand on the knee again is commonly accepted. From my observation with the labor force for the past three years, we've eliminated both of these practices so we can get the job done. As the result of that, that left hand is on the bed. The man is able to establish a rhythm in the thinning operation. I have tried it. I can't thin. I've tried it. I feel we should try what our men are doing, and I've tried it. I can't do it as that picture shows, but I can do it with my hand on the bed therefore supporting my back. Now I heard mentioned earlier ninety pounds on the back. I'm in no position to say this is true or is not, but I know what I've experienced in trying this. The rhythm is established. The individual can establish a rhythm with his hand on the beds supporting his back and this carries him right through the field. Once they're in that position, they don't want to get up, and therefore they are able to do the job we want, and I myself can do the job. Again I emphasize that that is a common accepted way of thinning. This is my personal opinion of what I have observed across the State with many crews, with our company

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

and other individuals. Our people now I tell them I want to see a dirty left hand. That means they've pulled the weeds and doubles and that hand is on the bed. I just offer that from my experience.

With the using of the long-handled hoe, I've observed— the question was asked of an earlier witness— I've observed the quality of our work being decreased. If we have a standard of say ten percent weeds you want to leave, or fifteen percent, the quality is being reduced thirty percent. The cost of the operation with the long-handled hoe, in my opinion, would eliminate the use of thinning by this method, therefore going to mechanical means of one method or another, therefore eliminating in this area employment for roughly 2,000 individuals. This would be the result of the long-handled hoe. We have found it does not— the short-handled hoe used as I've explained it does not interfere, or is detrimental to the individual's back. Again, this is perhaps in the training of the short-handled hoe. Maybe that is what this comes under, but I offer this for a comment.

MR. WHITE: Thank's, Mr. Betz. Do you have an opinion why the shorthandled hoe then isn't used elsewhere in the United States?

MR. BETZ: I'm not familiar with the crops throughout the United States. The states I heard mentioned earlier refer in my mind to different kinds of crops, cotton and so forth. With produce such as lettuce without the short-handled hoes used, you can't get a crop with the quality that we are expecting to get and the yield that is necessary to survive. You can't, because of mechanical damage, produce the yield that you want with lettuce. Lettuce is a completely different crop than cotton or sugar beets.

MR. WHITE: You used the expression "devils." I know what a double is, what's a devil?

MR. BETZ: Bag your pardon.

MR. WHITE: You said something about doubles and devils.

. 1 MR. BETZ: No. doubles. MR. WHITE: All right, there's not another expression? 2 MR. BETZ: No, sir. 3 Thank you. Any other questions? HR. WHITE: 4 THE BOARD: What company -- I didn't get the name of the company. 5 Bruce Church Company. HR. BETZ: 6 THE BOARD: What position do you hold in that company? 7 I'm Production Services Manager, and the opinions being 8 MR. BETZ: expressed here are mine. Like I said, I hadn't planned to testify, but 9 after observing the hearings, I felt I should offer this point. 10 HR. WHITE: Could we keep that photograph or do you need It? 11 MR. BETZ: Yes, sir. 12 MR. WHITE: All right. Is it from a current edition of the paper? 13 HR. BETZ: Yes, from the Salinas Californian two or three weeks ago. 14 MR. WHITE: I see. Do you know the reason why it was in the paper? 15 MR. BETZ: It was on other material related to the labor situation here. 16 It had nothing to do with the short-handled or long-handled hoe. It was just 17 a picture of a field worker. 18 MR. WHITE: I see. All right. Thanks, Mr. Betz, very much. Yes, sir. 19 MR. JOHN SAAVEDRA: Members of the commission, my name is John Saavedra. 20 I'm a city councilman in Soledad. I am a former farm worker in the Salinas 21 Valley. I was raised in the Salinas Valley since 1943. I have tremendous 22 empathy for people who are working with the short-handled hoe because that 23 is a job that is not designed for the human body. I heard mention about 24 precision planting. Precision planting as it is planted nowadays can 25 accommodate a long-handled hoe. I remember when I was doing thinning, the 26 planting was thick and you couldn't thin it with a long-handled hoe. As a 27 matter of fact, the opportunity was never offered to use the long-handled hoe.

I cannot speak as a city councilman as such, but as a former farm worker.

I heard mention a commant stated that my people, apparently somebod is

talking about owning people or something like that. I don't like those terms.

Also they were saying cost factors. The cost factor may be a little higher with the long-handled hoe, true; but then what's the price of a human back.

I have had surgery on my back twice, and I attribute that to short-handled hoe work. Gentlemen, that's about the only thing I can say at this time.

Thank you very much.

MR. WHITE: Just a moment now, Mr. Saavedra, there may be questions.

THE BOARD: I would like to ask, the threat of doing away with a couple of thousand jobs in this area, what is your opinion of the reality of that?

MR. SAAVEDRA: Selfishly speaking, sir, I would say that that type of a job if it's mechanized, I feel that it's all right because I'm speaking mostly on the sense of the human injury to the back. But if the job can be done with the long-handled hoe, unfortunately I was never given this opportunity to try that long-handled hoe to see if I could do it or not. I will never know if It can be done, but If the mechanization, which is siming in this direction, it's coming in this direction, it's going to get here. Why should we continue to have people suffering with the short-handled hoe, their backs, their injuries, the long process of surgery. I was on my back for twenty-one days and I had to live with It. It's hard. I can't see anybody going through this suffering which I went through.

MR. WHITE: How long did you work in the fields?

MR. SAAVEDRA: As far back as I can remember, sir. I remember as a little boy they used to take me and I'd sit in the car, or I would sit on a crate, or wherever I could. You know, there is no babysitting of this nature. Throughout my grade school and through high school, all summertime, during Saturdays and Sundays, everything from carrots, onlons, to lettuce,

to most of your farm work jobs in this area.

MR. WHITE: Would you say fifteen years, twenty years?

MR. SAAVEDRA: I incurred my back injury at the aga of twenty-three, and I worked steadily, well off and on, from about eleven-years old, off and on, until about fifteen, sixteen, and then from then on I worked full time as a farm worker. The only other reasons why I do not work as a farm worker is because I cannot work. I was told by the doctor that I can never again do stoop labor, lift anything beyond fifty pounds, and the other alternatives are to re-educate myself and find some other source of Income.

MR. WHITE: How did you hurt your back?

MR. SAAVEDRA: In a stooped position, sir.

MR. WHITE: Did something happen all of a sudden, or did your back--

MR. SAAVEDRA: Well, according to the doctor my back had already been weakened by the time that I had been doing some stooped labor, but what happened was that I stooped down to pick up a head of lettuce and that's what did it right there. There was no explanation whatsoever.

MR. WHITE: All right. Okay, thank you very much for coming up, Mr. Saavedra.

MR. N. MICHAEL RUCKA: Members of the commission, my name is N. Michael Rucka. I'm an attorney licensed to practice law in California. I'm a partner in the firm of Morgan, Beauzay, Hammer & Rucka, and my practice is predominantly workmans compensation injuries. I would like to offer some insights and suggestions based upon my experience over approximately seven and one-half years in this field.

I understand that when you took testimony in El Centro, there was some testimony relative to the causal relationship of working in a stooped position to low back injuries. I'm not exactly sure, not being present in El Centro, what that testimony was. I think if you would look at the records of the

UNISION OF INDUSTRIAL SAFETY FORM S-314 (REV. 4-70

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Workmens Compensation Appeals Board, you would not find that they would be very satisfactorily enlightening. That comes about because of a number of various reasons. The first is that most back injuries that you see in farm workers are not easily identifable as a sole cause. You don't find the type of specific incidences that you see in other kinds of trades. When you deal with trades like construction, services, you tend to see different types of injuries. That is, you see injuries caused by specific lifting episodes. In industries where there is a lot of repetitive bending and stooping, you see a different kind of injury. That is, an injury that comes about over a long period of time.

in the workmens compensation field there's a doctrine called the Beverage Theory. The Beverage Theory comes out of a case Beverage versus the Industrial Accident Commission which was decided in about 1957. In that case the courts of the State first recognized what medicine had recognized sometime before, that certain types of physical activity cause a wearing and tearing on the body. Because our constitution provides that there shall be a total, if you want to call it, a total coverage for people who work, anything that vaguely resembles a specific injury, or a disease process, is going to be covered as a workmens compensation injury if it's approximately caused by the work activities, that is if the work activities aggravate, accelerate, or in some way hasten the process. And so in the Beverage case, Hr. Justice Peters I believe was the author of that case, and he pointed out that the repeated bending activities that brought about, in the opinion of the doctor for Mr. Beverage, brought about the problem that Beverage had came about because of the insidious nature of the repetitive bending activities That is that each act of bending over, of stooping over, was, in and of

Itself, a minor injury, infinitesimal in its degree if you measure it on a one

by one basis, but taken together collectively constituted a major injury.

That is, such as the gentleman that testified just before no, caused him to have surgery and to have to cease gainful employment in that type of activity.

Today medicine knows that arthritis is a very common thing that's to be found in almost everybody's back. But interestingly enough, people who don't work in repetitive bending activities don't seem to have as much difficulty with their back as people who do. And medicine has, I suppose, with that kind of empirical evidence has concluded that obviously that's is a wearing out that comes about because of this activity, and there is objective evidence of this wearing out when you look at an X-ray. You can take an X-ray of a farm worker's back, and an X-ray of a day laborer's back, and in that sense I don't want to just limit it to farm workers because I don't think that's true. I think if you talk about the kind of repetitive activities of bending, you're going to see the same kind of results on an X-ray. You're going to see filling of the inner spaces between the discs. This is a sign that the back is wearing out.

Now, I indicated that you're not going to find a lot of support in looking at the workmens compensation cases for whether or not the short-handle hoe was the sine qua non of the disability that an individual might have, and I say that for several reasons. First of all, it wasn't until the late 150's that the doctrine of repetitive trauma to the back was an accepted doctrine, so before 1957 or so there aren't going to be any cases anyway to speak of. There may be some that have been resolved that ward in effect the same way, but the courts, or the Appeals Board, at that thus the industrial Accident Commission, reached the result that they did by different means. Secondly, because of the nature of the activity, that is it's a repetitive motion activity that does it, not the use of a specific tool, the tool doesn't cause the wearing out, it's the position. If you just

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

held the short-handled hoe in your hand and never had to bend over with it, it would cause you no problem. So that when an injured person comes to an attorney, the attorney isn't going to plead that the injury was caused by the short-handled hoe. He's going to plead that it was caused by the repetitive bending, stooping and lifting activities. I would suggest that if you were to look then at the Appeals Board's records since 1960 or '61, you would see that there were a great many applications for adjudication of claim that were filed alleging injury coming about because of repetitive bending, stooping and lifting activities. So, It's important to understand that an attorney that's worth his sait, that doesn't want to be guilty of malpractice, is not going to plead that the injury came about by use of the short-handled hoe.

Secondly, you have a problem when you're dealing with a minority group of any sort. That problem is doubly difficult when you're dealing with somebody who doesn't speak your language. So how many attorneys have native to them the ability to speak spanish. Well, I won't get into that as a major issue, but I would point out that there was a dispute that was Involving the University of California Boalt Hall about a year and a half ago wherein they pointed out that there were less than one percent, I think, of the attorney population in California that were of Chicano derivation, and I would daresay that that's probably true for those that speak spanish. So, that means if you're going to take a case involving somebody who speaks as a predominate language spanish, you're either going to have to speak spanish yourself, or you're going to have to have an interpreter at your disposal for the initial takein interview to send him along to wherever else he's going. It's true you can use an interpreter that you can hire, but the question is how many people are in the position to afford that, even though it may be possible to recover it out of the workmens compensation case

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

assuming that the attorneys know that this is a possible right, and I wonder how many do.

Thirdly, you have a problem of earnings. Attorneys in workmens compensation cases like to get paid like everybody else. Their remuneration is directly tied to the earnings of a farm worker, to the earnings of the injured employee. They get paid roughly ten to fifteen percent of whatever the employee recovers as the result of his industrial accident case. amount of recovery for an injured employee is directly related to the amount of his own earnings. So if you have a man who's a migrant laborer, which brings up the fourth problem that he is a migrant, he may or may not have good earnings. If he doesn't have good earnings, many attorneys are going to be disenchanted with the prospect of taking such a case. So that a man may have a bona fide injury, and yet have no place to go to file a claim because he's not going to find counsel that's going to take it. I would suggest also that it requires a degree of sophistication to understand the nature of repetitive trauma injuries, to know how to plead them, to be successful.

I alluded to the problem of the migratory nature of the employment. Now that's not particularly true in the Salinas Valley as it is in other places in California. In the Salinas Valley there are enough crops that go year round that the migrant nature of the employment can be restricted probably to a hundred square miles. That's not as big a problem as it once was. But in the past that was a big problem and so, therefore, statistically you're going to have difficulty in amassing the kind of information you'd like to get from claims being filed because a lot of guys didn't file claims for back injuries because they were busy moving with their families. I can tell you of several cases that I currently have in my own office in which that is, in fact, the case where my client, because the family has moved to

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

11

10

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Texas to work the crops, even though the client has a bad injury, has also moved to Texas, and clearly if I were not persistent in my own approach with the case, would the case be followed up and would it be processed.

Lastly, I would suggest that there's a major problem with respect to coverage, and that's the other reason why lots of attorneys, and it's almost sounding like it's a defense of attorneys and I don't want it to be, I want it to be an explanation of what the problems are in this, and that is that they have a problem getting coverage. Up until very recently we didn't have large corporate farming. The aggra business is a relatively new concept, and so you had the situation with a lot of small growers with a lot of different insurance companies. And how do you go about getting the information to plead a repetitive trauma case the way it's supposed to be pled so you can prove out the fact that the work activity, the repetitive bending and stooping over a period of ten or twelve or seven or nine years whatever the situation is, has brought about the injury. Well, you have to go and you have to get every single employer that the guy has ever worked for. You have to go and get every single carrier for that employer during the period that the guy worked. Now, you have two problems built into that problem, and that is first of all up until recently there was no way for sure that we could make sure that farm labor contractors were reporting all the actual earnings that a guy would make when he was in the field. The only way that we have that's really a good way of checking employment is going back and getting social security records. Well, if the quy never reported social security, if the employer never reports the social security to social security what a man earned, we have no way of knowing his employment history let alone his earnings history. Even assuming that we get the social security records, where do we go to get the information about coverage. Well, we used to be able to go to CIRB, which is the California Inspection

and Rating Bureau, which is a quasi-state organization which is set up to determine a lot of other things including ratings, but also supplys information covering referable to coverage. But it didn't come into existence until 1957. So you see the problem going back prior to 1957. Since that time it's a very expensive process to use CIRB. It's \$5.00 per year per employer to get coverage information. If you have a migrant worker who has worked for fifteen employers in the course of one year, how much does that cost, and if you miss the year that he worked for that employer, you lose your five bucks and you've got to go back to ground zero and start all over again. So I suggest that there are a lot of economic factors which would preclude your getting any good evidence as to what effect a shorthandled hoe has upon back injuries, but I would also suggest that there's a lot of good information as to what effect repetitive bending and stooping activities have on back injuries post 1961.

Lastly, I would suggest that the cost factor would— which I overheard the gentleman from one of the growers mention— is an illusory factor, and that is because of the following: Let's assume that we have a resident of the State of California that has a back injury like the councilman who spoke before, and let's assume for a moment that there is workmens compensation coverage. Number one, the premium to the grower is going to increase, perhaps not very greatly, but it will increase and that will be a cost factor that will be passed on. Secondly, the cost to society will be greatly increased because I will tell you in my own experience there are very, very few people who do stoop labor, whether it's farm work type or whether it's day labor type, whether they're black or chicano or anybody else who have a major back injury, who are able to go back to the same kind of work that they were doing before, and if they don't have either youth on their side or a great deal of motivation because of some other factors that are built into it, they end up

being on the welfare rolls and, therefore, being a tax to society generally.

And I would suggest that I would rather pay that increased price on a per

Item basis, paying a dime or a dollar more if necessary on a head of cabbage,

than pay that same amount of money that I'm going to have to pay through my

property tax, and watch the government bureaucracy get involved in it, and

not know how much is going to be siphoned off to pay off a lot of other people.

Thank you. Are there any questions that I can answer?

THE BOARD: Do I gather that you represent applicants generally?

MR. RUCKA: I represent applicants exclusively except unlawfully uninsured employers.

THE BOARD: In your experience approximately how many cases have you had in which back problems were the central issue?

HR. RUCKA: That would be a difficult question for me to answer.

THE BOARD: Just approximate.

MR. RUCKA: I would say between forty and fifty percent. I would suggest that there was a recent study put out by the Division of Industrial Accidents, Roy Bell, the Chairman, has put it out relative to back injuries, and in that, as I recall, there's a comment that over forty percent of the litigated cases before the Appeals Board Involve back injuries. And I would say that that's probably true with my practice. I think I probably settle a number of back cases that would never go to trial, so I don't know if that's figured in the figure.

THE BOARD: But would you say you've had one-hundred, two-hundred, flya-hundred?

MR. RUCKA: In raw numbers I would say that In almost eight years of practice I probably have handled between 2,500 and 3,000 cases of which, I would say, roughly speaking between 1,000 and 1,500 have involved back injuries of one sort or another.

THE BOARD: And what would be your batting percentage?

MR. RUCKA: Winning and losing. You really want me to admit it in front of the public.

THE BOARD: Sure.

MR. RUCKA: Wall, I would say that probably in the high seventies. You know, there's an old adage that isn't only true to me but to most lawyers, and I imagine it's true for most doctors, you try to settle your losers and win your winners, and with doctors you try to weed out those that are going to give you problems in your practice at the very outset. So I think that kind of covers up a lot of the holes that maybe shouldn't be seventy percent.

THE BOARD: Is that cover up called burying mistakes?

MR. RUCKA: Yeah, that's about it.

MR.\_\_\_\_\_: I would like to ask the gentleman, you've made several assumptions. If I hear what you're really saying correctly, you are guessing that there are a whole lot of people with back problems that are not getting any help because of the difficulty of even proving the thing. Is that an assumption you were-- I was hearing.

MR. RUCKA: Yeah, I would go with one modification. They are getting help, but they're not getting help from the agencies that were directly designed to help them. That is, they're getting general welfare. They're getting some other kind of assistance, but they're not going through the workmens compensation scheme to get it. I think that the lower down on the scale you go economically and educationally speaking, the greater the percentages. I won't say that it grows by geometric progression. I certainly would say that it grows by arithmetic progression.

MR.\_\_\_\_\_: Do you think the cases you won, your client really won.

I mean he's still got a bad back, so whatever he got really isn't enough.

MR. RUCKA: That's right, and I think that's really a good point because

we launch into a whole concept of what is adequate compensation when you 1 talk about that. And I tell my clients when they walk into the office that 2 first of all you've got an inadequate system. Workmans compensation is 3 never going to adequately compensate for what you've lost in terms of your 4 earnings ability. Take a person who is out of work for a year, and assuming 5 that he can return to the same kind of employment, even assuming that he gets 6 a permanent disability rating of some good value, he's lost in earnings a 7 tremendous amount plus what he may have lost in terms of his own family life. 8 Before I practiced here I practiced up in the Bay Area, and at one time at 9 the outset of my practice, when I guess I was somewhat naive, I used to try 10 to keep records of the amount of marital problems and ultimately bankruptcy 11 that would also pass through the office that would seem to be generated, ! 12 don't say were because I'm not a statistician, I'm not a sociologist to be 13 able to test, but seemed to be generated out of industrial accident cases. 14 And I'll tell you from the practice of law standpoint it was pretty good. 15 We were able to employ an attorney to handle it, but from the standpoint of 16 human suffering it was pretty terrific also. 17

MR. WHITE: Any other questions? Thank you, Mr. Rucka, very much.

MR. ROGER TEIG: My name is Roger Telg, T-E-I-G. I'm manager of Pan American Underwriters here in Salinas, and we insure approximately forty percent of the produce labor force in the Salinas Valley, and have insured them for over ten years. I have been in this office for seven years and I can testify that we have had no laminectomies, no permanent disabilities from a short hoe crew. Mr. Rucka's testimony on workmens compensation in general was very interesting and enlightening, but it did not refer to the agricultural. I believe Mr. Rucka has only been in the Salinas Valley for about five months, and handling workmens compensation for agriculture for the last five months. Is that true?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MR. RUCKA; No. that's not true.

MR. TEIG: How long has it been, Mike?

MR. RUCKA: The question is how long have I been in the Salinas Valley. I've been in the Salinas Valley over five months. That part is correct. But I've been handling agriculturally related cases from the inception of my practice. I started off practicing in the Pleasant Hill area, and if anybody knows Contra Costa County, you'll know that until maybe three or four years ago it was a predominantly rural county. The area around Byron is just replete with all kinds of various farms, and that's also true for the San Joaquin Valley. I have made many appearances in the San Joaquin Valley involving agricultural cases. So I would say that my experience in agriculture, while certainly does not make me an expert on the problem of the agricultural laborer, I think when coupled with my experience generally in the field of workmens compensation, show me several similarities which, I think, I can base the statements that I've made upon.

HR. WHITE: Thank you, Hr. Rucka.

MR. TEIG: I believe the question here, however, is on the short hoe, and I wanted that point clarified. That is the only thing that I wanted to testify to this committee is that we have insured a large portion of the agricultural labor force in this Valley, and have not had a laminectomy directly related to a short hoe crew, or a short hoe worker.

MR. RUCKA: I would agree that there is probably no specific episode, or specific application filed, or where the carriers picked up coverage on a laminectomy which they have ascribed to the use of the short-handled hoe. But I would also suggest that they've picked up a lot of laminectomies over the past few years because of back injuries to farm workers. I know I have had several cases with Mr. Teig's clients in which that's true. I think the question really is the lack of specific evidence.

I've picked stremberries. I've thinned apples. You name it and i've done just about all.

As a youngster, I think I was probably eleven or twelve-years old, I started out doing these things after school and summer vacations because we had to find a job to make money. And in my experience throughout the State of California, Arizona and Texas in the produce business, I cannot remember one single case of compensation, or a physician, where we had a back injury attributed to the short-handled hoe. My father ran a cress of Hindus In 1911 in the Salinas Valley In thinning and hoeing beets. Then Japanese. Then we followed with the Philippinos. And then the Mexicans. The stoop labor, most of them are small or more agile to handle it then the ordinary Anglo due to their build and the fact that they seem to have a stronger body for the job. We have these short-handled hoes here ever since I can remember. I've used it. live used the long-handled hos. It's better to lean on than the short-handled hoe, there's no question about that. The job cannot be done thoroughly with the long-handled hoe with the exception of certain things. Cotton is one where the long-handled hoe can be used due to the larger seed which can be planted further apart, but still you can't get the weeds out around and the doubles as we have it in most of our crops, our lettuce and so forth here, as Mr. Grainger and Mr. Betz have brought up.

I know quite a number of people who have bad backs that never used a short-handled hoe in their life. So, I don't know what the correlations of the back injuries, as I told you in regards to compensation live never had one that I can ever remember. We do the best job, just about the best job, that live seen in the farming operations in the Salinas Valley with our farming, with our thinning and with our hosing. We are particular. Now, if you are going to outlaw the short-handled hoe, then I wonder where the situation is going to stop. They've gone in and told you about celery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Colory has to be bent, you have to bend over to cut it and trim it. You 1 have to cut lettuce and trim it. The packer who packs the lettuce is down 2 picking up the lettuce. He bends over to put it into the carton. He bends 3 over to pick it up again. Everything that we do in that respect is bending 4 over and your back is under those conditions. As Mr. Grainger said, three 5 or four days, or four or five days, you're hurting. There's no question about 6 7 it. After a week your problems are over and everybody seems to get along all right. So I would say, as far as I'm concerned, the knife that's used to 8 cut celery, or the knife that's used to cut broccoli or lettuce, is a very 9 dangerous weapon, a much more dangerous weapon than a short-handled hoe. 10 11 And that's proven by so many knifings of people and killing them. And where are you going to stop. Is a butcher going to be able to use a knife. It's 12 13 a dangerous weepon. He doesn't hurt his back, but it's still a dangerous 14 weapon. We've had more people cut and hurt in that respect with compensation 15 claims than we ever had with the short-handled hoe. Thank you. HR. WHITE: Thank you, Hr. Bailey. Are there any questions? 16 17 THE BOARD: You didn't mention your affiliation.

MR. BAILEY: Herden Farms of California.

THE BOARD: Are you the owner or operator?

HR. BAILEY: No, I'm working for them.

THE BOARD: in what capacity?

MR. BAILEY: I have about three or four hats to wear right now. One of them is a field man and another one is quality control.

MR. WHITE: Thank you. Any other questions? Thank you, Mr. Balley. All right, sir.

MR. MANUEL OLIVAS: Hy name is Manuel Olivas. I'm a resident of Salinas, California. I live at 1248 Tempico Avenue. I've been following the commission's hearings on the short-handled hoe with great concern and a

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

particular interest. I brought the hoes so that— I'm sure the commission has already seen these hoes. I don't know if the commission understands that there is two kinds of hoes that is used. These are old hoes. They haven't been in operation in the field in many years. They're used around home for gardening. The smaller hoe is used for weeding. It's not used for thinning. It's narrower. It's easier to get around the plants and, therefore, you do a better job with it. This is a poor version of the thinning hoe. The thinning hoe is a little bit thicker from here and a lot longer. It comes about this big, the purpose of it being so that it wears the blade out slowly with the hitting of the rocks. The handles are about the same length.

I'd like to preface my statement by saying a few personal things that I would like to make the commission aware of. I've heard the statements here that has been given by several people, and I disagree with several of them. My experience with the short-handled hoe has not been by observation, it's been by pushing crews. It's been by working with it. I also have a back injury and I'm thirty-one years old. I cannot do any heavy lifting or farm My back was injured when I was nineteen. I stopped doing farm work at that time. I have worked in this area for Bruce Church, Hanson, Toro Farms, Breckticall, and several other labor contractors that have been in the area that have come and gone since. My father when he came to this area was fifty-years old. Fifty-years old. We got trucked over here like cattle in an open truck from Arizona. He contracted himself to come up here to work for a contractor, Johnny Cockus, at that particular time. We got housed into a labor camp, and my father, being illiterate in english and only knowing his native language of spanish, was unable to obtain any other kind of job other than in the fields. So he went out at fifty-years old and started working. He lasted exactly two and a half years. Two and a half years doing thinning. Now, I've heard a lot of statements here about how faithful workers are,

1

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

5

7

6

8

11

12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

and how they keep coming back year after year, but I haven't hoard, however, any statement from any worker, or grower, that has come before this commission stipulating to the fact as to how long a worker has worked doing that particular kind of work. I think the maximum length of time is about ten years and then you're no good anymore. I would like to challenge any individual, no matter what his health or physical condition is, to go out and try to earn a living with a short-handled hoe. Sure, anytime. Now, If you're going to earn a living with a short-handled hoe for a continual period of time, you're not going to able to do any other kind of work because you're not going to be any good for it. But those are the statements that I wanted to preface my other statements with.

The disability factor about -- the gentleman with the insurance company saying that they haven't had any disability related to the short-handled hoe. Well, if the commission is aware of the native chicano, or the Mexican that comes from Mexico, ha's very timid. He's unaware of the rules and regulations, and the laws that are mandate, in this state or any other state for that matter. Therefore, he doesn't realize that he's being hurt, or that his back is getting messed up permanently. So subsequently the lack of disability comes from not knowing that you have that right. Not knowing. Prior to what I'm doing now, I'm currently a consultant for an aducational firm, I was a ranch manager. I was working for Counties Development Corporation. That's a non-profit corporation out of Aptos, California, which deals primarily in developing economic development projects for low income individuals, primarily Mexican-Americans. They came out into the Salinas Valley and they've always had a concern for agriculture. They've established two cooperatives, farm working cooperatives. One in Watsonville with strawberries. The other one here in Salines with row crops. I managed a 112 acre ranch for thirteen months. I was in full charge of production,

application of pesticides, time lines, harvesting, etc., all that goes along with running a ranch. Now, these families that I was administering umbered eight. Eight families which had approximately about forty individuals. Now, the intent of the co-op was to get these individuals to go into doing what they know how to do best, and also reap the profits off of it. And believe you me, farming is a very profitable business. Just to show you how profitable it is, out of eighteen and a half acres of four-year strewberries, they were supposed to have been just under the year before, the eight families grossed profit, grossed not profit, I mean grossed in two and a half months of work \$37,000. That comes out to about close to \$8,000 per family. That's not bad for a few months work.

Anyway, if you're not sware, crop production is done in cycles. You have to prepare the land, you have to do certain things to it, you apply the chemicals, and you bring a company in to do the listing which is making the beds. And then you come in with your position planner. A position planner is not going to eliminate thinning. It's not going to eliminate weeding, regardless of the statements you've heard here before, because it's a machine that makes mistakes and it just doesn't do the job. You're always going to have those doubles. Lettuce is the one crop that seems to be of concern here in the Salinas Valley that is planted in long rows. The lettuce is grown very closely together, very close, and It's thinned out between eight and twelve inches depending on the individual's wishes. We had approximately four and a half acres of variety lettuce, which deals in red cabbage, romain lettuce, red leaf lettuce, and butter lettuce, and napa cabbage. We grew this variety lettuce. The way that the parcels were cut up is you plant It, the acres, then you assign X number of rows per femily. Each family worked their parcels. All the labor they did themselves. When we got around to the thinning end of it, the families started to balk. They didn't

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

want to use the short-handled hoe, and a lot of them said well, look, that's
why we came in here you know, we're the owners of this place, we want to
work, but we don't want to do that. There was a couple, like the gentleman.
in the back over there, that said ah, you guys don't want to do that, you're
chicken, it takes a man to go out and thin. We had a meeting. It's a
cooperative, it has a president, a board of directors. I had a meeting with
the co-op manager and the production manager. We sat around and telked about
it. So we decided that since nobody was trying it, to experiment with the
long-handled hoes. So we had a general meeting of the familles and asked how
many of them wanted long-handled hoes and how many wanted short-handled hoes.
Well, it turned out that the majority of them wanted to try long-handled hoes
We had an economist, economic expert, that went in there and told us
approximately how much we were either going to gain or lose in yield, which
was of great concern to the familles because they were in it for the money.
We did all the research of how much it was going to cost, how much time it
was going to take, etc. So finally we said, okay, we're going to make long
hoes available to the ones that want them and short-handled hoes available to
the ones that wish to use the short-handled hoe. We went out and bought ten
hoes, ten long-handled hoes, and they brought in the short-handled ones.
It turned out that the in the beginning the individuals that had come from
Texas caught on to it immediately. There's only one difference. Instead of
bending over in the same row, you have to do it on the opposite way, you
switch over, you don't do it the same way you do with the short-handled hoe.
In the beginning, the ones that had never used the long-handled hoe, it was
kind of awkward and clumsy, and it took them some time to get used to it.
It took about a day and a half, I think; however, the short-handled hoe
individuals were really getting it on. I mean they were just pattitt right
on down those rows, and they were laughing at the guys using the long-handled

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

hoes saying, ah, you're wasting your time. Late in the ofternoon the guys that had been yelling about, yeah, come on let's use the short-handird hoe, were on their knees. As any one of these individuals can testify that have come up before the commission, around the afternoon you take a drive up to the Salinas fields, and you'll find out how many people are running around on their knees. It gets hard. Now this was not by force, there was no crew boss over them, this was on an individual basis.

So, anyway, we conducted the experiment. It took about a week, a week and a half. By the end of that week, the individuals with the long-handled hoe were just as good as the ones with the short-handled hoe. Granted you still have doubles to pull off, and you still have to bend over to get those doubles, but what you do have is a fresher individual at the end of the afternoon. You have a better quality of work done than the guy with the short-handled hoe. The guy with the short-handled hoe was so tired, this thing gets so heavy, that after awhile you're just going on the gravitational pull of the hoe itself. You're just letting it drop and it's coming down. How, as the gentleman that says that all I want to see is left dirty hands can attest to, I'm sure, if you kind of follow these individuals that are doing the thinning, in the afternoon you'll find that they're a little bit more sloppy than they are in the morning, and they tend to leave bigger gaps between the lattuces which causes less yield production. You're supposed to leave it eight to twelve inches long. If you mass up and cut off the twelve-inch line, you've got twenty-four. That means you've lost one head of lettuce. You continually do this and eventually it adds up. Boy, it adds The other thing that they did with the long-handled hoe was broccoli. We had 9.5 acres of broccoll. Now, that was done completely with the long-handled hoe. The individuals that thought they wanted to use a short-handled hoe decided against it.